

## **BODY WEIGHT ESTIMATION USING BODY MEASUREMENTS IN GOATS (*Capra hircus*) UNDER FIELD CONDITION**

Zandro O. Perez<sup>1</sup>, Adrian P. Ybañez<sup>2</sup>, Rochelle Haidee D. Ybañez<sup>3</sup> and  
John Fritz Gerald J. Sandoval<sup>4</sup>

### **ABSTRACT**

Body weight information of livestock animals is significant for trade, routine animal health monitoring and dosage calculations for treatment of diseases. Under field conditions, a convenient body weight estimation formula in goats (*Capra hircus*) using body measurements was developed and evaluated. A total of 300 adult goats in Barili, Cebu were used for the development of the formula, and 66 goats from the VSU Goat Project, Baybay City, Leyte and Ubay Stock Farm, Bohol were used for its field reliability testing. Animals were selected by convenience sampling regardless of sex and breed. In the univariate level of analyses, all body measurement parameters (rump height [RH], body length [BL], heart girth [HG], and wither height [WH]) showed significant correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ). In the multivariate level (stepwise regression), the wither height was removed in the final equation [estimated live weight =  $\{((2 \times RH) + (4 \times BL) + (6 \times HG)) / 10\} - 53$ ] which resulted into a stronger correlation with the actual live weight ( $R = 0.899$ ,  $R^2 = 0.81$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Body weight estimator from other authors were compared with the current formula developed. Results revealed that the technique utilizing this formula demonstrated high reliability in goats in the study areas, implying good potential for generalized applicability.

Key words: body measurements, body weight, goats

### **INTRODUCTION**

The body weight of an animal is essential for trade and health assessment. Its estimation must be made as close to the actual live weight as possible to maximize profits and avoid over- or under-dosing of medications. However, in the actual field in the Philippines, especially in the public market or in most rural areas, weighing scales are usually non-existent. Moreover, these weighing scales can be expensive and/ or difficult to use in the field especially if the animals are uncooperative. Hence, developing a body weight estimation technique for field use is essential. Estimation techniques are already investigated in pigs (Murillo and Valdez 2004; Walugembe *et al.*, 2014), cattle (Bagui and Valdez, 2007; PCAARD, 2002) and horses (Marante *et al.*, 2009; Macatangay and Valdez, 2002). In the Philippines, Valdez *et al.* (1981) found correlation of selected external measurements, including heart girth, wither height and midriff girth, to body weight of goats and suggested some formulas for mixed grade and combined breed groups:  $(-28.33) + (HG \times 0.82013)$ ;  $(-37.16492) + (HG \times 1.00055)$ ;  $(-17.72717) + (HG \times 0.93364) + (WH \times 0.23434)$ ;  $(-40.75258) + (HG \times 0.82328) + (WH \times 0.24673)$ . Several studies in other countries have explored the correlation of body measurement parameters with body weight of the animal (Mohammed and Amin, 1997; Thiruvankadan, 2005; Adeyinka & Mohammed, 2006; Slippers *et al.*, 2000; Nsoso, 2003; Cam *et al.*, 2010; Mahieu *et al.*, 2011), but most of the

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture, Cebu Technological University, Barili, Cebu; <sup>2</sup> Gullas College of Medicine, University of the Visayas, Banilad, Mandaue City; <sup>3</sup>Biology and Environmental Studies Program, Sciences Cluster, University of the Philippines Cebu, Cebu City; <sup>4</sup>Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Visayas State University, Baybay City, Leyte, Philippines (e-mail: dr.adrianpybanez@gmail.com)

resulting formulas still appear to be complex or difficult to remember due to the coefficients for each obtained body measurement which contain different decimal numbers. This study aimed to develop a convenient and easier to remember body weight estimation formula in goats using body weight measurements and assess its reliability under field conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three hundred adult mixed-breed goats (105 male and 195 female) from a farm in Brgy. Nasipit, Barili, Cebu, Philippines was used for the development of an estimator formula. An addition of 66 adult mixed-bred goats (regardless of sex) goats from the Baybay City, Leyte and Ubay Stock Farm, Bohol were later utilized for the field reliability testing of the developed formula. Animals were handled humanely in accordance with the Animal Welfare Act of the Philippines and the existing animal care and use guidelines of the Philippine Association of Laboratory Animals.

A quadrant type weighing scale (with a capacity of up to 100 kilograms) was used to determine actual live weight, while a tailor measuring tape was used to obtain the needed body measurements (in cm). The weighing scale was checked and calibrated before each procedure was done. A tally sheet was used to record the data.

The weighing scale was set-up on a flat area. Each animal was placed on top of the weighing scale to get the actual live weight (ALW). In cases where the goat subject was difficult to handle, appropriate restraint techniques were applied. After determining the live weight, the animal was made to stand upright on a flat ground area. Specific body measurements (cm) obtained using the tailor measuring tape included: rump height [RH] as the distance from spina iliaca to the ground, body length [BL] as the distance between the occipital protuberance and taildrop, heart girth [HG] as the circumference of the chest just caudal to the forelimbs, and wither height as the distance between the most dorsal point of the withers and the ground (Mahieu *et al.*, 2011; Yakubu *et al.*, 2011). The average time it took for the researcher to obtain these measurements was 12 seconds per animal.

Data from the tally sheet were encoded into Microsoft Excel 2010, and analyzed using simple linear regression and multiple linear regression (stepwise) for the univariate and multivariate level of analyses, respectively, using SPSS Statistics 23 (International Business Machines Corp., Armonk, New York). After devising the new formula, estimated live weight (ELW) values were computed and compared with the ALW using independent T-test. The same procedure was performed for the measurements of research subjects from the VSU goat project and Ubay Stock Farm.

Using the obtained body measurements, ELW was also computed using formulas from other authors. Results were then compared with the values from the devised formula and ALW using analyses of variance with post-hoc analyses. To those found with no significant difference, Pearson correlation was performed with ALW to determine applicability and strength of correlation (R).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the development of the formula, the study utilized a total of 300 goats (105 male and 195 female). The male goats consistently had higher average live weights and body measurements compared to female goats similar to the findings of other studies (Pesmen and Yardimci, 2008; Abegaz and Awgichew, 2009). The over-all average body weight and body measurements were found to be 26.5 kg, and 66.14 cm (RH), 59.6 cm (BL), 70.9 cm (HG) and 61.09 cm (WH). Except for RH, all parameters were found to be significantly different between sexes (Table 1). This finding corroborated with that of

Table 1. Mean values of actual live weight and body measurements of goats (*Capra hircus*).

Parameter	Male	Female	P-value	Over-all Mean
Actual live weight* (kg)	27.42±6.36	25.43±5.40	0.005	26.13±5.82
Heart girth** (cm)	72.01±5.79	69.05±4.73	0.000	70.09±5.31
Body length** (cm)	60.96±5.59	58.87±4.40	0.001	59.60±4.94
Rump height (cm)	66.94±4.52	65.71±6.07	0.069	66.14±5.60
With height** (cm)	62.46±4.27	60.35±5.57	0.001	61.09±5.25

\*Significant (P<0.05), \*\* Highly significant (P<0.01).

Matsebula *et al.* (2013).

Linear regression analyses between ALW and specific body measurements of research subjects showed significant relationships (P<0.05) (Table 2). Heart girth (R=0.838, R<sup>2</sup>=0.702) was found to have the highest correlation while the wither height (R=0.643, R<sup>2</sup>=0.414) was the weakest. Valdez *et al.* (1981) also found HG to be the most reliable predictor if only a single body measurement parameter will be used. Several studies in other countries have found similar results (Khan *et al.* 2006; Pesmen and Yardimci, 2008; Abegaz and Awgichew, 2009; Yakubu *et al.*, 2011; Moaen-ud-Din *et al.*, 2006; Mahieu *et al.*, 2011).

From the resulting positive coefficients, the relationship between the body measurements and ALW were shown to be directly proportional. This means that in every increase in the body measurement, there is a subsequent increase in the ALW. On the other hand, the coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) values showed moderate to high correlation between ALW and the specific body measurements.

Stepwise regression analyses excluded WH in the different models for male, female and over-all population (Table 2). Resulting models were seen to have stronger correlations with ALW when more significant body weight measurements are included as predictors than with individual body measurements. This finding is consistent with other studies (Khan *et al.* 2006; Pesmen and Yardimci, 2008; Abegaz and Awgichew, 2009; Yakubu *et al.*, 2011; Moaen-ud-Din *et al.*, 2006).

The resulting equation in the multiple regression analysis contained variable coefficients (with decimal numbers) which appear complex and difficult to remember. Thus, a simpler formula was devised by rounding off the decimal places and replacing them with whole numbers. Moreover, the approach of obtaining body measurements which starts from the caudal to cranial (rump height to body length to heart girth) with arranged coefficients or multipliers in ascending pattern and in whole numbers (2, 4, 6) can facilitate easy recall.

The proposed formula is:

$$ELW = \frac{(2 \times RH) + (4 \times BL) + (6 \times HG)}{10} - 53$$

Where:

ELW	-	Estimated live weight (kg)
RH	-	Rump height (cm)
BL	-	Body length (cm)
HG	-	Heart girth (cm)

This formula was tested using the data obtained from the different body measurements

Table 2. Results of the univariate and multivariate analyses of the different body measurement parameters with the actual live weight of goats (*Capra hircus*).

Univariate Analyses						
Parameter	R	R2	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error	P-value	Model
Heart girth (cm)	0.838	0.702	0.701	3.183	0.000	-38.242 + (HG*0.918)
Body length (cm)	0.731	0.534	0.532	3.981	0.000	-25.169 + (BL*0.861)
Rump height (cm)	0.661	0.436	0.435	4.377	0.000	-19.278 + (RH*0.686)
Wither height (cm)	0.643	0.414	0.412	4.464	0.000	-17.489 + (WH*0.714)
Multivariate Analyses						
Sex	R	R2	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error	P-value	Model
Male	0.888	0.788	0.782	2.971	0.000	-57.066 + (HG*0.55) + (BL*0.368) + (RH*0.335)
	0.864	0.747	0.742	3.231	0.000	-45.073 + (HG*0.647) + (BL*0.425)
	0.810	0.655	0.652	3.750	0.000	-36.577 + (HG*0.889)
Female	0.910	0.828	0.825	2.258	0.000	-51.413 + (HG*0.650) + (BL*0.355) + (RH*0.168)
	0.898	0.807	0.805	2.384	0.000	-50.804 + (HG*0.750) + (BL*0.416)
	0.856	0.732	0.731	2.804	0.000	-41.967 + (HG*0.976)
Over-all	0.899	0.808	0.806	2.562	0.000	-50.666 + (HG*0.587) + (BL*0.355) + (RH*0.219)
	0.883	0.780	0.778	2.743	0.000	-46.464 + (HG*0.684) + (BL*0.413)
	0.838	0.702	0.701	3.183	0.000	-38.242 + (HG*0.918)

to compute for live weight estimates. The same data were also used in the computation utilizing the original equation (Table 2). Comparing the 2 sets of estimates and the actual live weight, statistical analyses revealed no significant differences. This indicates that the newly devised formula is also reliable.

Moreover, the same data were used in different goat-body-weight estimating-formulas obtained by other authors (Cam *et al.*, 2010; Fajemilehin and Salako, 2008; Slippers *et al.*, 2000; Yakubu, 2009; Pesmen and Yardimci, 2008; Yaekob *et al.*, 2015; Khan *et al.*, 2004; Moaeen-ud-Din *et al.*, 2006; Valdez *et al.*, 1981) and compared with the ALW and current formula. Only 9 formulas (by Valdez *et al.*, 1981, Khan *et al.* (2004), Pesmen and Yardimci (2008), Slippers *et al.* (2000) and Fajemilehin and Salako (2008))

Table 3. Correlation (R) of estimated live weight of goats (*Capra hircus*) with actual live weight values using different estimator formulas from different authors.

Source of Estimator Formula	Formula to estimate live weight (ELV) of goats ( <i>Capra hircus</i> )	Correlation, R
Current study	$=((2 \cdot RH) + (4 \cdot BL) + (6 \cdot HG) / 10) - 53$	0.899
Khan <i>et al.</i> , 2004	$= (HG \cdot HG \cdot BL) / 300$	0.894
Valdez <i>et al.</i> , 1981	$= -40.75258 + (HG \cdot 0.82328) + (WH \cdot 0.24673)$	0.861
Pesmen and Yardimci, 2008	$= -53.061 + (1.120 \cdot HG)$	0.838
Slippers <i>et al.</i> , 2000	$= -43.0277 + (0.992924 \cdot HG)$	0.838
Valdez <i>et al.</i> , 1981	$= -28.33345 + (0.82013 \cdot HG)$	0.838
Valdez <i>et al.</i> , 1981	$= (HG \cdot 1.00055) - 37.66$	0.838
Valdez <i>et al.</i> , 1981	$= -17.72717 + (HG \cdot 0.93364) + (WH \cdot 0.23434)$	0.832
Fajemilehin and Salako, 2008	$= (0.57 \cdot WH) - 7.63$	0.661
Fajemilehin and Salako, 2008	$= (0.49 \cdot RH) - 76$	0.643

were found to produce ELW values with no significant difference with ALW. Except for the formula of Khan *et al.* (2004) which used two predictors (HG and BL), the other identified reliable formulas used only a single predictor. Further analyses showed that the formula developed in this study showed the strongest correlation (Table 3), followed by that developed by Khan *et al.* (2004). While the formula of the latter appears to be simpler, input values were actually converted to be uniform with the other ELWs. The required body measurements were in inches and the resulting estimated weight was in pounds for the aforementioned formula.

Assessment of the developed formula using the 66 goats in 2 other areas revealed that there was no significance difference between ALW and ELW values ( $P=0.991$ ). Analysis revealed moderate to strong correlation ( $R=0.754$ ). The results provide additional evidence on the reliability of the newly devised formula and its applicability in goats in the studied areas.

## CONCLUSION

A body weight estimation formula using body measurement techniques was developed with high reliability under field condition in selected areas in the Visayas, Philippines. The caudal to cranial approach of obtaining body measurements (rump height to body length to heart girth) and use of coefficients or multipliers in ascending pattern and in whole numbers (2, 4, 6) are the salient features of the formula which are easy to recall.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mr. and Mrs. Roy Vergara, Dr. Warren D. Come, Dr. Caro B. Salces, Mr. Marianito M. Doydora and the staff of the VSU Goat Project, Philippine Carabao Center and Ubay Stock Farm for their valuable assistance in the conduct of the study.

## REFERENCES

- Abegaz S and Awgichew K. 2009. Estimation of weight and age of sheep and goats. *ESGPIP (Ethiopia Sheep and Goat Productivity Improvement Program) Technical Bulletin* (23).
- Adeyinka IA and Mohammed ID. 2006. Relationship of live weight and linear body measurement in two breeds of goat of Northern Nigeria. *J Anim Vet Adv* 5:891-893.
- Bagui NOJG and Valdez CA. 2009. Live weight estimation of locally raised adult purebred Brahman cattle using external body measurements. *Philipp J Vet Med* 44(1).
- Cam MA, Olfaz M and Soydan E. 2010. Possibilities of using morphometrics characteristics as a tool for body weight prediction in Turkish hair goats (Kilkeci). *Asian J Anim Vet Adv* 5 (1):52-59.
- Fajemilehin OS and Salako AE. 2008. Body measurement characteristics of the West African Dwarf (WAD) Goat in deciduous forest zone of Southwestern Nigeria. *Afr J Biotech* 7(14): 2521-2526.
- Khan BB, Iqbal A, Riaz M, Yaqoob M and Younas M. 2004. *Livestock management manual*. Department of Livestock Management, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Khan H, Muhammad F, Ahmad R, Rahimullah NG and Zubair M. 2006. Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in goats. *J Agric Biol Sci* 1 (3):51-54.
- Macatangay E and Valdez C. 2002. Weight determination of Philippine native horses using external body measurements. *Philipp J Vet An Sci* 28 (1):78-88.
- Mahieu M, Naves M and Arquet R. 2011. Predicting the body mass of goats from body measurements. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 23(9): no pages.
- Marante RP, Torres EB and Valdez CA. 2009. Body weight estimation of local born thoroughbred horses (*Equus caballus*) using external body measurements. *Philipp J Vet Med* 44(2): 114-122.
- Matsebula M, Bhebhe E, Mupangwa JF and Dlamini BJ. 2013. Prediction of live weight from linear body measurements of indigenous goats of Swaziland. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 25, Article #140, Retrieved 4 February 2016 from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd25/8/Mats25140.htm>
- Moaeen-ud-Din M, Ahmad N, Iqbal A and Abdullah M. 2006. Evaluation of different formulas for weight estimation in Beetal, Teddi and Crossbred (Beetal X Teddi) goats. *J Anim Pl Sci* 16(3-4): 74-78.
- Mohammed ID and Amin JD. 1997. Estimating body weight from morphometric measurements of Sahel (Borno White) goats. *Small Rum Res* 24:1-5.
- Murillo MCKM and Valdez CA. 2004. Body weight measurement in triple weight estimation cross pigs (Large white-landrace-duroc) using external body measurements. *Philipp J Vet Med* 41:32-39.
- Nsoso SJ, Aganga AA, Moganetsi BP and Tshwenyane SO. 2003. Body weight, body condition score and heart girth in indigenous Tswana goats during the dry and wet seasons in southeast Botswana. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 15 (4), Article #32. Retrieved 6 March 2016 from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd15/4/nsos154/htm>.
- PCAARD. 2002. *Philippine Recommends for beef cattle production*. Philippine Council for Agriculture and Resources Research, Los Baños, Laguna.
- Pesmen G and Yardimci M. 2008. Estimating the live weight using some body measurements in Saanen goats. *Archiva Zootechnica* 11(4):30-40.
- Slippers SC, Letty BA and De Villiers JF. 2000. Prediction of the body weight of Nguni goats. *South Afr Journal An Sci* 30(4):127-128.
- Thiruvankadan AK. 2005. Determination of best-fitted regression model for estimation of body weight in Kanni Adu kids under farmer's management system. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 17: 1-11.

- Valdez CA, Fagan DV and Vicera IB. 1981. The correlation of body weight to external body measurements in goats. *Philipp J Vet Anim Sci* 7 (2): 62-79.
- Walugembe M, Nadiope G, Stock J D, Stalder K J, Pezo D and Rothschild MF. 2014. Prediction of live body weight using various body measurements in Ugandan village pigs. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 26 (96).
- Yaekob L, Ahmed KM and Belay B. 2015. Morphological characterization of indigenous Woyto Guji goat breed in Loma District, Southern Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian J Sci Res* 10(2):58-67.
- Yakubu A, Ladokun AO and Adua MM. 2011. Bioprediction of body weight from zoometrical traits of nondescript goats using linear and nonlinear models in North Central Nigeria. *Livestock Res Rural Dev* 23(6).